

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/905,197	07/16/2001	Sami Nuutinen	P 282647 2990210US/W/HER	3048		
909	7590 11/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			TRINH, I	TRINH, MINH N		
P.O. BOX 105 MCLEAN, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3729			
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•

1							
	Application N	o.	Applicant(s)	9/			
	09/905,197		NUUTINEN, SAMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1			
	Minh Trinh		3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ply within the statutory d will apply and will exp te. cause the application.	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) day sire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	August 2004.						
	and the contract of the contra						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	-						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,12-17,19 and 20 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,12-17,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consid	deration.	,				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accompany accompany and accompany accompany and accompany ac	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) be hection is required i	eld in abeyance. Se f the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rents have been reiority documents au (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applicat s have been receiv 7.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ()8) 5) (6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal I Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/905,197

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-12 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are examples.

It is not known whether "a circuit board of a plug in unit" is a part of the claimed "a noise suppressor unit". It appears that it is not a part of the claimed "noise suppressor" as shown in Fig. 7. Please clarify.

"the circuit board of the power source module" (claim 10, line 15) lacks proper antecedent basis.

The scope of claim12 is not clear since the claims directed to a noise suppressor (see claim 10) but not an assembly head of an automatic assembly machine because it is not apart of the claimed subject matter. Also, the limitation recites: "is configured to be gripped by" (claim 12, line 2, and "that is configured to place " (claim 12., line 3) are not further limit the claimed structure limitations., etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 10, 12-17, 19 and 20 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kiesel et al (US 4,623,865).

Kiesel et al teach the claimed electrical device or suppressor unit comprising: a holder 22 having a bottom surface adapted for holding the common mode choke or coil 8, a lifting element 22 (see Fig. 1); a surface mounting element 25 (see Fig.1), the lifting element having a lifting surface associated therefrom (see Fig. 4). It is noted that Kiesel et al disclose a lifting element 22 having the configuration as described above (see Fig. 4, depicts the holder 23 having a projecting 22 at its center).

Limitations of claims 12-14 are also met by Kiesel et al (see Fig. 4) including at least a mounting element such as pin 25 being arranged in an opening of the holder as (see Fig. 4 being arranged in the opening of the holder).

As applied to claims 15, 16 and 17, Kiesel's Fig. 1 and 4 discloses the at least one surface mounting element comprising a surface mounting foot being partially embedded in the holder and the bottom of the holder is substantially even, etc.

Limitations of claims 19 and 20 are also met by the prior art references (i.e., see Fig. 4 of Kiesel et al depicts that a lifting element 22 having a grip surface being substantially even, and that the holder and the lifting element are being parallel).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3729

Prior Art References

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teaching of electrical device or sensor unit i.e., inductor coil, transformer, or the like, etc.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Minn Trinn, Patent-Examiner

Group 3729

mt